

Proposed Milton Quarry East Extension JART COMMENT SUMMARY TABLE – Archaeology

Please accept the following as feedback from the Milton Quarry Joint Agency Review Team (JART). Fully addressing each comment below will help expedite the potential for resolutions of the consolidated JART objections and individual agency objections. **Additional, new comments may be provided once a response has been prepared to the comments raised below and additional information provided.**

	JART Comments (September 2022)	Reference	Source of Comment	Applicant Response	JART Response
Report/Date: Stage 1 and 2 Archaeological Assessment April 30, 2021		Author: Golder			
1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Main questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • relationship of mapped study area with ARA/NEP application extents <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Really needs the AA area to be mapped as an overlay to the ARA/NEP application boundaries • Ministry sign-off for archaeological licensing requirements • Archaeological site AjGx-306 recommended for Stage 3 • PIF P468-0060-2020 - site record status is in OASDB awaiting review • Report entered in register 29/06/2021 (Report ID: 54497) <p>Editorial: P10 - 2nd last paragraph first line - confusing text re: "two Thomas Chisholm", presume just meaning Thomas Chisholm.</p>	General	NEC Staff Review		
2.	<p>Main question: Ministry sign-off for archaeological licensing requirements PIF P468-0064-2020 site record status is in OASDB awaiting review Report entered into register 02/07/2021 (Report ID: 54498)</p>	General	NEC Staff Review		